

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,991	12/29/2003	Dominick H. Salvato	1589	8249
23623 7	7590 01/24/2006		EXAMINER	
AMIN & TU		LE, UYEN CHAU N		
24TH FLOOR	TH STREET, NATION	AL CITY CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND	•		2876	
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H-A		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	II'N		
Advisory Action	10/748,991	SALVATO, DOMINI	ICK H.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>		
	Uyen-Chau N. Le	2876	ļ		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lmess		
THE REPLY FILED 21 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folked places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compart following time periods: 	on the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in diance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	of Appeal. To avoid ab affidavit, or other evide a compliance with 37 (ence, which		
a) The period for repty expiresmonths from the mailing of	date of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41 37(e)) to avoid dismissal o	f the anneal		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-29.]		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	necessary		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea / and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a		
 ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

See Continuation Sheet.

13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Uyen-Chau N. Le Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2876

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The phrases "a customization component that facilitates customizing size of text and imagery of the display as a function of the sensed keypad position" (claims 1 and 23) and "altering a size of text and images of the display based at least in part upon an application of a user" (claim 16) raise new issue that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Kfoury et al, Kumar, Charlier et al and Knox still meet the limitation of the claimed invention.

Continuation of 13. Other: Claims 1-29 remain rejected as set forth in the final office action mailed 25 October 2005.